

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/546,139	07/19/2006	Michel Chateau	34076/US/2	1181	
25763 DORSEY & W	7590 07/01/200 /HITNEY LLP	EXAM	UNER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			LONG,	LONG, SCOTT	
SUITE 1500 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/546,139	CHATEAU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Scott D. Long	1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

<ol> <li>Scott Long (Examiner); Janet Epps-Ford (Primary).</li> </ol>	(3) Colin Fairman (Attorney); Franck Tetaz (EU Atty).				
(2) Joseph Woitach (Supervisory Patent Examiner).	(4)Philippe Soucaille (Inventor).				
Date of Interview: 23 June 2008.					
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) [	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e if Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 14.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Nakamori</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\ \square$ was reached. g) $\ \square$ was not reached. h) $\ \square$ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant provided highly detailed description of invention</u> . Group discussed important features of invention and discussed proposed claim amendments. No agreement was reached. /SDL					

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMATO OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on the verse side or on attached sheet.

/Janet L. Epps-Ford/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080623